

3 Apr 89

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number,
building, Agency/Post)

Initials

Date

1. EA/DDA

2.

3.

4.

5.

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals,
clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Room No.—Bldg.

STAT

Phone No.

A/E0/05

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2 April 1989

NOTE FOR: ExA/DDA

RE: Transactional Costs

Larry,

STAT and I discussed briefly the merit of the transactional costs exercise. On reflection, none of the activities that we addressed really attacked any of OS's "core functions", which I would interpret as a good sign. The SSC activity did get closer scrutiny concerning backlogs of certifications into 4-C and actions to reduce the backlog; that was good. *Actually Found People assist in reducing Backlog*

Substantially less Focused That set a systematic approach
But, on the whole, we tend to think that the value of the transactional costs activity was the "process", itself. Components were forced to look within, consider new approaches, and where feasible, set them in motion. Periodic, if *secure staff* admittedly forced, examinations such as this keep the gears oiled and the machinery running at what has to be an improved level of efficiency; therein lies the value. *or new system*

STAT
Regards.



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

STAT

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/12/03 : CIA-RDP92G00017R000900140005-9

Page Denied

Next 11 Page(s) In Document Denied